JOE'S JOTTINGS

Issue the second . . .

. . . November 1945

Published bi-monthly for distribution through the mailings of the Vanguard Amateur Press Association by

Joe Kennedy 84 Baker Ave., Dover, N.J.

---000---

SPLATTERINGS ON THE RUN: ---

If nothing else, the 4th mailing was nice and large. Eighteen mags . . . Let's hope this keeps up . . . <u>Tumbrils</u> is top-deck again -- Blish does an effective job of being both thought-provoking and readable at the same time . . . Danner's rather pseudo-scientific effort is fast giving Temper and Agenbite a run for the money. A Vapa publication having a connection with the fantasy field (however faint) is indeed refreshing after the numberless attacks, counterattacks, and assorted mayhem to be found elsewhere . . . The "Workshop" section of Renasence gives excellent promise . . . Discrete's pleasing informality easily compensates for the sloppiness. One damon knight's sketch of the plump bunny-rabbits proved uproarious. And personally we happen to enjoy reviews of previous mailings . . . Daw's remarks on the subject of unintelligible poetry should be worthy of amplification, even if his burlesk of Sostman. seemed pretty crude, . . . Crying Snowflakes: ahhh-h-h! What pretty blue paper! . . . Phantasphere: We detect the fine, unwelcome hand of H. Phillips Lovecraft upon "Annals of Arkya". The HPL for our money reigns beyond dispute among modern writers of weird fiction, most critics are agreed. that the Old Man of Providence was never any great shucks as a poet. Witness most of the Fungi from Yuggoth series, in particular that dubious masterpiece, "The Well". And Lowndes has shown himself capable of infinitely superior stuff . . . The Constitution proves satisfactory in the main, although the authority of the Charter Members for making certain decisions seems questionable. Idly, we ponder the advisibility of elsecting a board of advisors for the first official year. However, since a smooth-running organization is preferable to an elaborate constitution, we'll refrain from squawking too loudly .

The Phuturians have a feline at every rodent aperture . . .

The following item appears through courtesy of FJAckerman.

A RATING OF FANTASY MUSIC

-- by --

Milton A. Rothman

standards

GREATEST MASTERPIECES:

Beethoven Symphs. 3,5,6,7,9 Certain Beethoven Sonatas & quartets

Bach B Minor Mass Chopin Etudes Brahms Symphs. Die Meistersinger

GREAT MASTERPIECES

Tschaikowsky Symphs. Shostakovitch 1 & 5 Sibelius 2 & 5, Violin

Concerto
Tschaikowsky Piano & Violin
Concertos

Chopin Nocturnes, Polonaises, Concertos

LESSER MASTERPIECES:

Mendelssohn: Italian Symph. Smetana: Die Mouldau Enesco: Roumanian Rhapsody Gershwin: Rhapsody in Blue Verdi & Puccini Operas

WHITE ELEPHANTS Mahler's Ninth Symph. Sain Saens' Third Symph. Scriabin: Song of Ecstasy Strauss: Ein Heldenleben

CHESTNUTS

Overture 1812
Liebestraum
WM. Tell Overture
Dvorak's Humoresque
Dance of the Hours

Fantastic

Rites of Spring Chopin B flat minor Piano Sonata Ring of the Nibelungen

Daphnis & Chloe Suite
Scheherezade
Fire Bird Suite & Petroushka
Schubert: The Erl King
Pictures at an Exhibition
Afternoon of a Faun

The Sorcerer's Apprentice
Debuxsy: The Submerged
Cathedral
De Falla: El Amor Bruge
Adam: Giselle
Swan of Tuonela, Lemmankainen's Journey, etc.
The Flying Dutchman
Prokofieff: Love for 3
Oranges

Holst: The Planets
Berlioz: Symphonic Fantastique, The Damnation of
Faust
Tschaikowsky: Francesca de

Rimimi
Rimsky-Kors.: Antar
Rhespigi: Pines of Rome
Strauss: Tod und Verklarung

Peer Gynt
Things to Come Suite
Night on Bald Mountain
Danse Macabre
Thru the Looking Glass

TRIPE: Last Dream of the Virgin
Overture to Orpheus in Hades
Poet and Peasant Overture
Souvenir by Drdla

UNCLASSIFIED:

Mahler: Lied von der Erde Gliere: Third Symph. Liadov: Enchanted Lake Loeffler: Pagan Poem

---000---

EXPLANATORY NOTES :

The Simplest mathod of classifying fantasy music is to compare it with a standard scale of ordinary music. To that end I have prepared a scale, which is on the separate page. I freely admit that it is rough and ready, and that the exact position of any given piece of music on it depends upon the weather, what you had for breakfast, when you last heard the piece, and a dozen other factors — but I think you will admit that it is roughly accurate in placing a composition in its position on the scale of musical value. Naturally, the pieces I mention are only the barest few samples to indicate the nature of the music that belongs in that particular section of the scale.

Let's consider these standards:

- 1. "The Greatest Masterpieces" are those of a large scale and great profundity, or of smaller scale and great perfection of form, or perhaps of great singnificance in the evolution of music.
- 2. "The Great Masterpieces" contains probably the bulk of the standard repetoire.
- 3. Lesser masterpieces are good music, but of a lighter nature, such as you'd hear at a pop concert.
- 4. White elephants are the toughest to classify. It is the class of music of a pretentious nature which for some reason or other has not been quite accepted as belonging with the greatest music. Much argument can ensur over this classification, as no doubt howndes will claim that Mahler's Ninth belongs in either #1 or 2, and so on into the night. But I think that most unprejudiced music students will agree that these white elephants are questionable. After you study them you either give them up as a bad job, or they capture your fancy and you promote them to group 1 or 2.
- 5. The chestnuts are pretty obvious. They may be pretty to listen to if they are well done, but I wouldn't walk a mile, or even a hundred yards to hear them.
 - 6. Tripe. This is where I turn off the radio. Now let's

examine the fantastic numbers which I have rated:

Group 1: "Rites of Spring" meeds no explanation. It's terrific. The Chopin sonata, while pure music, has a fantastic atmosphere, and in fact, I recall reading some story attached to it, either real or fanciful, in which the funeral march is followed by the wind and spirits howling around the gravestones in the fourth movement. Contrary to Bloch, I classify the "Ring of the Nibelungen" as fantasy, because I am considering the operas as a whole, including the story, stage settings, etc. For the same reason, I put them in Group 1, because while certain parts of the operas are better music than other parts, as a total concept the Ring is one of the greatest pieces of work in Music.

Group 2: No discussion here, unless you argue about moving certain ones up or down a group. However, I think that with this classification system there is rarely a need to argue about a displacement of more than one group.

Group 3: Giselle is a ballet done occasionally in America, and about every two weeks here at the Paris opera. The music is mediocre, but the ballet is fine, and the story is a weirdy.

Group 4: As I said, here's where you have the arguments. This is music which is pretty hard to digest. After you digest it, you may either excrete it or decide that you like it. Personally, I'm not too impressed by "The Damnation of Faust" altho the Paris opera puts on a fine show of it. "Antar" has a luscious musical theme, but gets nowhere with it. Rhespigi's stuff puts me to sleep. and "Tod und Verklarung" (Death and Transfiguration) was quite aptly described as being the final thoughts of a stuffed shirt. It doesn't wear well through repeated hearings.

Group 5: No comment. And I didn't bother to list any tripe.

As for the unclassified, that's the sad result of my years in the army. A few years ago I had reached the point where I could start to investigate things like Mahler and Gliere's Third, and then the army came along and made it rather difficult. So despite the years I've spent listening to mysic, there's an entire world of stuff I've never even heard yet -- which continues to make life interesting.

---000---

PARAGRAPHS FROM PINOCHLE

"How I could laugh. Laugh and laugh and laugh. *** It is never a question of doing a good job of whatever, you hear? I said Whatever! one is doing! Such weakness! Such revolting sniveling! *** Eh, Mr. Michel It's the same old feeling of racing to get a thing said, racing to get it down. Has the boil erupted? The People, Yes.' Magnificent!" -- Elsie Balter Wollheim, in K'täogm-m #3.

We won't have to worry about copyright trouble with this reprint -- it's 150 years old!

A SHORT TALK ON DRUNKENNESS

for Wednesday, July 8, 1795. This was merely one of a series of short articles purporting to have been written by one Tomo Cheeki, a Creek Indian in Philadelphia. Following the custom of newspapers of the time. this was undoubtedly a reprint from still another publication. The exact author is unknown....

---000---

When I confider the variety of evils that nature has thrown into the way of man, while a refident on this changeable theatre, the world we inhabit, I cannot poffibly blame him for having availed himfelf of fome of those choice extractions from the fruits of the ground, which put a new foul into him, and bid him for a time not only forget the miseries of his condition but also encourage ((Next line illegible)) *** where the measure is continually full, and no one who was ever allowed to taste could truly fay, "the quantity is diminished."

What an infignificant thing is this world to me if I am forever reftricted to the ufe of water. This element leaves me as it found me, a poor, infifid creature, ,deftitute of all elevation, as well as incapable of great defigns, or actions worthy the arm of valour.

The end and defign of man is happinefs. Hence then, ye cold moralifts, who upon the uncertain fpeculations of futurity would abridge our joys of the prefent feafon. When once a man departs hence, he is a man no more. His pleafures, if any he has, will be no longer the pleafures of a man, but of a creature exifting in fome other mode of being. Let me then in my own proper nature, while here, enjoy thafe pleafures which are the peculiar portion of humanity.

The time muft come when this liquor which now fparkles in the bowl will avail me nothing! They will place the full bottle by my fide, but it fhall not yeild me a fingle ray of confolation; much lefs fhall it inflame me to generous and noble actions.

Nevertheres I pity that man, who in (***) this good thing is fo unfortunate as to convert it to an evil purpose. Such is our friend Tuskenalah, the big lieutenant, No fooner does the ftrong spirit of the juice of the grape begin to operate, than he in a moment becomes a monster. Cruelty is in his eye and the resemblance of death is on his countenance. He smites siercely at all around him, and delights in acts of violence -- for such the great man above the clouds did not

bid the grape to grow. For fuch he only meant the running ftream, the ftanding lake, and the fpring that iffues conftantly from the bofom of the mountain. To fay all in a few words, he placed fuch men in the fame rank with the moft ravenous beafts of the foreft.

Who that has a fpirit within him partaking in ever fo fmall a degree of the celeftial nature, but will perceive himfelf becoming a better man by the operation of this divine liquid, the juice of the grape. Is he generous? It heightens his generoufity -- Is he brave? this elevates him into a prodigy of exalted valour; all narrow-nefs and meannefs of fpirit, if any fuch he poffeffes, is thrown afide after a full draught of this enlivening liquor. He takes hold of the hand of the orphan and relieves him, and his benevolence extends to the comforting of the widow, and feeble daughters of diftress. I was ever greatly afraid of that man who was never known to transgrefs the bounds of ftrict fobriety in drinking. Such a man is cold and unfeeling. His whole happinefs is centered in himfelf continually. He never relaxes the fevered brow of care, but like a certain animal of our forefts, is continually anxious to collect a hoard which it is most likely he will not long exift to enjoy. --- To be always ferious is not true wifdom. Life fhould, in a certain degree, be thequered with folly, otherwife we difguife the feelings of nature, and under the fevere mask of wifdom love those pleasures which folly, when feasonably indulged, never fails to inspire.

What think you of the power called Nature? Tell me, philosopher, is fhe at all times in that fedate and reflective mood which you yourfelf would wish conftantly to affume? Has she not visibly her passions and her whims, her sits of anger and her feasons of moderation? The has even her hours of play and merriment; and pardon me when I say, she at times commits some little freaks analogous to what we call FOLLY in man.

There is a flower that grows in our forefts which has particularly attracted my attention. In our language it is called Fonfha ataroah /in English, the Bee-flower/. Upon this flower the whimfical fancy of nature has planted a bee, as if in the act of extracting honey; fo nicely as to deceive the most penetrating eye, till the imposition is discovered by applying the fingers to the flower to catch the bee.

This was evidently done with the idea of jecular deception. Is it profane to fay nature had taken a glafs of wine too much when fhe went to work at contriving this flower? If fo, I hope I may be permitted to observe, without censure, that she could not have been otherwise than at least in a very good humour.

---000---

JOTTINGS HOME TRAVELOGUE CORNER

"But fans go on their way -- walking circles around the hole into Which they've stuck their heads." -- Kepner in Parnassus.

That's a swell trick if you can do it.